

JAN 19 2012

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>JEFFRY IAN COOK,</p> <p>Petitioner - Appellant,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>THOMAS CAREY, Warden; ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,</p> <p>Respondents - Appellees.</p>
--

No. 08-16525

D.C. No. 2:06-cv-00869-ALA

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of California
Arthur L. ALARCÓN, Circuit Judge, Presiding

Submitted January 17, 2012**

Before: LEAVY, TALLMAN, and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

California state prisoner Jeffry Ian Cook appeals from the district court’s judgment denying his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas petition. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 2253, and we affirm.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Cook contends that the Board of Prison Hearings's 2004 decision finding him unsuitable for parole is not supported by some evidence and was otherwise improper. The only right at issue in the parole context is procedural, and the only proper inquiry is what process the inmate received, not whether the state court decided the case correctly. *See Swarthout v. Cooke*, 131 S. Ct. 859, 862-63 (2011) (per curiam). Because Cook raises no procedural challenges, we affirm.

AFFIRMED.