

FEB 09 2012

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

FELIX GARCIA-GODOY,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 11-50204

D.C. No. 2:10-cr-01110-SJO-1

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Central District of California
S. James Otero, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted February 7, 2012**
Pasadena, California

Before: KOZINSKI, Chief Judge, O'SCANNLAIN and N.R. SMITH, Circuit
Judges.

Felix Garcia-Godoy appeals the district court's denial of his motion to
suppress evidence found during an inventory search of his vehicle.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent
except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision
without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Contrary to Garcia-Godoy's assertion, the inventory search in this case was not "for the sole purpose of investigation." *Colorado v. Bertine*, 479 U.S. 367, 372 (1987); *United States v. Bowhay*, 992 F.2d 229, 231 (9th Cir. 1993).

Nor was the district court's finding that the searching officers followed "standardized procedures" clearly erroneous. See *United States v. Ruckes*, 586 F.3d 713, 716 (9th Cir. 2009); see also *United States v. Mancera-Londono*, 912 F.2d 373, 375 (9th Cir. 1990). It is of no moment that the search was never completed. *United States v. Scott*, 665 F.2d 874, 876 (9th Cir. 1981).

AFFIRMED.