

FEB 23 2012

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

DANIEL RAMSEY,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 10-10302

D.C. No. 4:09-cr-00398-SBA

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of California
Saundra B. Armstrong, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted February 21, 2012**

Before: FERNANDEZ, McKEOWN, and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Daniel Ramsey appeals from his jury-trial conviction and 60-month sentence for conspiracy to commit armed robbery, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Ramsey’s counsel has filed a brief

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

stating there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Ramsey with the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. Ramsey has filed a pro se brief and the government has filed an answering brief.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80-81 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Accordingly, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and the district court's judgment is **AFFIRMED**.