

FEB 23 2012

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,</p> <p>Plaintiff - Appellee,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>JOSE GALLARDO-DEDIOS,</p> <p>Defendant - Appellant.</p>
--

No. 11-30116

D.C. No. 1:10-cr-00182-BLW

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Idaho
B. Lynn Winmill, Chief Judge, Presiding

Submitted February 21, 2012**

Before: FERNANDEZ, McKEOWN, and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Jose Gallardo-Dedios appeals from the 188-month sentence imposed following his guilty-plea conviction for conspiracy to distribute methamphetamine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 846. We have jurisdiction under

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we affirm.

Gallardo-Dedios contends his sentence is substantively unreasonable because it is higher than the sentences of his co-defendants, resulting in an unwarranted sentencing disparity. The bottom-of-the-Guidelines sentence is substantively reasonable in light of the totality of the circumstances and the 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) sentencing factors. *See United States v. Carty*, 520 F.3d 984, 993 (9th Cir. 2008) (en banc); *United States v. Plouffe*, 445 F.3d 1126, 1131 (9th Cir. 2006) (“[S]entencing courts are to consider how the sentencing factors apply to each defendant and determine whether an individualized sentence is warranted.”).

AFFIRMED.