

FEB 24 2012

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,</p> <p>Plaintiff - Appellee,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>OSCAR NOE SANCHEZ-LARIOS,</p> <p>Defendant - Appellant.</p>
--

No. 11-10121

D.C. No. 2:10-cr-00361-LDG

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Nevada  
Lloyd D. George, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted February 21, 2012 \*\*

Before: FERNANDEZ, McKEOWN, and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Oscar Noe Sanchez-Larios appeals from his guilty-plea conviction and 97-month sentence for conspiracy to distribute a controlled substance, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) and (b)(1). Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

(1967), Sanchez-Larios's counsel has filed a brief stating there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided the appellant the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80-81 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal. We dismiss in light of the valid appeal waiver. *See United States v. Nguyen*, 235 F.3d 1179, 1182 (9th Cir. 2000).

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

**DISMISSED.**