

MAR 12 2012

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>HAROLD FORD,</p> <p>Plaintiff - Appellant,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>IDAHO STATE BAR; et al.,</p> <p>Defendants - Appellees.</p>
--

No. 10-35861

D.C. No. 1:09-cv-00640-MHW

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Idaho  
Mikel H. Williams, Magistrate Judge, Presiding\*\*

Submitted February 28, 2012\*\*\*

Before: LEAVY, THOMAS, and CHRISTEN, Circuit Judges.

Harold Ford appeals pro se from the district court’s judgment dismissing his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action challenging Idaho state bar decisions resolving his claims

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* Ford consented to proceed before a magistrate judge. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).

\*\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

against a former attorney for misconduct and reimbursement of attorney's fees.

We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo. *Noel v. Hall*, 341 F.3d 1148, 1154 (9th Cir. 2003) (*Rooker-Feldman* doctrine); *Barren v. Harrington*, 152 F.3d 1193, 1194 (9th Cir. 1998) (order) (dismissal under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)). We affirm.

The district court properly dismissed Ford's action as barred by the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine because the action is a "forbidden de facto appeal" of state bar decisions, and raises constitutional claims that are "inextricably intertwined" with those decisions. *Noel*, 341 F.3d at 1158; *Bianchi v. Rylaarsdam*, 334 F.3d 895, 898 (9th Cir. 2003) (concluding that the district court lacked jurisdiction where the plaintiff "essentially asked the federal court to review the state court's denial in a judicial proceeding, and to afford him the same individual remedy he was denied in state court" (internal citation and quotation marks omitted)).

Ford's remaining contentions are unpersuasive.

**AFFIRMED.**