

APR 19 2012

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

BENITO PEREZ LOPEZ; MARIA  
REMEDIOS RODRIGUEZ,

Petitioners,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 10-71170

Agency Nos. A079-535-327  
A079-535-673

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted April 17, 2012\*\*

Before: LEAVY, PAEZ, and BEA, Circuit Judges.

Benito Perez Lopez and Maria Remedios Rodriguez, natives and citizens of Mexico, petition pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying their motion to reopen based on ineffective assistance of counsel.

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reopen, and review de novo claims of due process violations. *Mohammed v. Gonzales*, 400 F.3d 785, 791-92 (9th Cir. 2005). We deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying petitioners' motion to reopen as untimely because the motion was filed more than two years after the final order of removal, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(2), and petitioners failed to establish the due diligence required for equitable tolling of the filing deadline, *see Iturribarria v. INS*, 321 F.3d 889, 897 (9th Cir. 2003).

In light of our disposition, we need not reach petitioners' remaining contentions.

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**