

JUL 24 2012

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>SHANG ZHE PIAO,</p> <p>Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p>Respondent.</p>
--

No. 10-70568

Agency No. A098-660-735

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 17, 2012**

Before: SCHROEDER, THOMAS, and SILVERMAN, Circuit Judges.

Shang Zhe Piao, a native and citizen of China, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying his motion to reopen removal proceedings based on ineffective assistance of counsel. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the denial of

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

a motion to reopen, and review de novo claims of due process violations.

Iturribarria v. INS, 321 F.3d 889, 894 (9th Cir. 2003). We deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Piao's motion to reopen on the ground that he presented insufficient evidence to establish prejudice resulting from the alleged errors of his former counsel. *See id* at 900-02 (requiring prejudice to prevail on an ineffective assistance claim).

In light of our disposition, we need not address Piao's remaining contention that the BIA erred in requiring him to comply with *Matter of Lozada*, 19 I. & N. Dec. 637 (BIA 1988).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.