

AUG 14 2012

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>VICTOR HUGO HORTA GARCIA,</p> <p>Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER, JR., Attorney General,</p> <p>Respondent.</p>
--

No. 06-75548

Agency No. A070-962-853

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted August 8, 2012**

Before: ALARCÓN, BERZON, and IKUTA, Circuit Judges.

Victor Hugo Horta Garcia, a native and citizen of Guatemala, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge’s decision denying his application for asylum. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence factual

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

findings. *Wakkary v. Holder*, 558 F.3d 1049, 1056 (9th Cir. 2009). We deny the petition for review.

Horta Garcia contends the agency erred in finding he did not establish past persecution based on an imputed political opinion. Substantial evidence supports the agency's determination that Horta Garcia failed to establish the harm he and his family suffered was on account of a protected ground. *See INS v. Elias-Zacarias*, 502 U.S. 478, 483 (1992) (petitioner must provide some evidence, direct or circumstantial, of persecutor's motive). In light of this conclusion, we need not address Horta Garcia's contention that the agency erred in considering only the threats he received in Guatemala. Further, Horta Garcia's reliance on the presumption of future persecution fails because he did not establish past persecution, *see Molina-Estrada v. INS*, 293 F.3d 1089, 1096 (9th Cir. 2002), and he does not otherwise challenge the agency's conclusion that he failed to establish a reasonable fear of future persecution. Accordingly, Horta Garcia's asylum claim fails. *See Ochoa v. Gonzales*, 406 F.3d 1166, 1172 (9th Cir. 2005).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.

FILED

AUG 14 2012

Horta Garcia v. Holder, No. 06-75548
BERZON, Circuit Judge, concurring:

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

I concur on the ground that Horta Garcia did not raise before the Board of Immigration Appeals (“BIA”) the primary issue he now raises—that his and his family’s professed neutrality in the Guatemalan civil unrest in the 1980s constitutes an imputed political opinion for purposes of the nexus requirement. His brief to the BIA asserted only persecution on account of “his familial relationship.”