NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JAVIER AMADO-ORTEGA, a.k.a. Javier Amador Ortega, Petitioner, v. ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General, Respondent.	No. 11-70817 Agency No. A096-210-714 MEMORANDUM[*]

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted September 10, 2012[**]

Before: WARDLAW, CLIFTON, and N.R. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

Javier Amado-Ortega, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of

the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing his appeal from an

immigration judge's removal order. We dismiss the petition for review.

---

[*]   This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

[**]   The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

We lack jurisdiction over this petition for review because Amado-Ortega failed to establish that his waiver of the right to appeal the immigration judge's decision was not knowing and considered. *See Biwot v. Gonzales*, 403 F.3d 1094, 1098 (9th Cir. 2005) (where a waiver of appeal was not knowing and considered, the waiver does not strip us of jurisdiction). Amado-Ortega was represented by counsel, and raised no ineffective assistance of counsel claim. *See Magallanes-Damian v. INS*, 783 F.2d 931, 934 (9th Cir. 1986) (aliens "are generally bound by the conduct of their attorneys, including admissions made by them, absent egregious circumstances).

To the extent that Amado-Ortega now raises an ineffective assistance of counsel claim, we lack jurisdiction to consider this claim because it was not raised before the BIA. *See Barron v. Ashcroft*, 358 F.3d 674, 678 (9th Cir. 2004).

In light of our disposition, we do not consider Amado-Ortega's remaining contentions.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.

11-70817