

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

SEP 19 2012

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

KARL JOHN CASCKETTA,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 11-10059

D.C. No. 2:04-cr-00599-JAT

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Arizona  
James A. Teilborg, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted September 10, 2012\*\*

Before: WARDLAW, CLIFTON, and N.R. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

Karl John Cascketta appeals from the revocation of his supervised release and the 24-month sentence imposed upon revocation. Pursuant to *Anders v.*

*California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Cascketta's counsel has filed a brief stating there

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record.

We have provided Caschetta the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief.

No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

**AFFIRMED.**