

SEP 20 2012

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff - Appellee,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v.</p> <p>HEIDI WELCH,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendant - Appellant.</p>

No. 11-10581

D.C. No. 2:11-cr-50074-FJM

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Arizona
Frederick J. Martone, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted September 10, 2012**

Before: WARDLAW, CLIFTON, and N.R. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

Heidi Welch appeals from the revocation of supervised release and the five-month sentence imposed upon revocation. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Welch’s counsel has filed a brief stating there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Welch with the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

Counsel's motion requesting a ruling from the court on her motion to withdraw is denied as moot.

AFFIRMED.