

OCT 12 2012

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>MOHMMED ENAMUL BHUIYAN HAQ,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Petitioner,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Respondent.</p>
--

No. 10-71697

Agency No. A071-575-736

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted October 9, 2012**

Before: RAWLINSON, MURGUIA, and WATFORD, Circuit Judges.

Mohammed Enamul Bhuiyan Haq, a native and citizen of Bangladesh, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ (“BIA”) order denying his motion to reopen. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

abuse of discretion the BIA's denial of a motion to reopen. *Najmabadi v. Holder*, 597 F.3d 983, 986 (9th Cir. 2010). We deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Haq's untimely motion to reopen because he did not establish changed circumstances in Bangladesh material to his claims to qualify for the regulatory exception to the time limit. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(3)(ii); *Almaraz v. Holder*, 608 F.3d 638, 640-41 (9th Cir. 2010) (petitioner failed to show passage of trade agreement was material to his claim where documents he submitted were inconclusive, even if accepted as true).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.