

OCT 15 2012

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MIRTHALA PINTO DE BRAN,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 10-70494

Agency No. A070-788-339

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted October 9, 2012\*\*

Before: RAWLINSON, MURGUIA, and WATFORD, Circuit Judges.

Mirthala Pinto De Bran, a native and citizen of Guatemala, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ (“BIA”) order dismissing her appeal from an immigration judge’s denial of her motion to reopen proceedings. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the denial of

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

a motion to reopen, *Avagyan v. Holder*, 646 F.3d 672, 674 (9th Cir. 2011), and we deny the petition for review.

The agency did not abuse its discretion in denying Pinto De Bran's motion to reopen as untimely because the motion was filed more than ten years after the final order of removal, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.23(b)(1), and Pinto De Bran failed to show the due diligence necessary for equitable tolling, *see Avagyan*, 646 F.3d at 679-80.

In light of our disposition, we need not reach Pinto De Bran's remaining contentions.

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**