

OCT 17 2012

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>JOSE MANUEL LOZANO-LEON,</p> <p>Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p>Respondent.</p>

Nos. 06-73527
06-74977

Agency No. A098-568-157

MEMORANDUM*

On Petitions for Review of Orders of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted October 9, 2012**

Before: RAWLINSON, MURGUIA, and WATFORD, Circuit Judges.

In these consolidated petitions for review, Jose Manuel Lozano-Leon, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") orders dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying his request for a continuance and denying his motion to

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

reconsider. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the denial of a continuance, *Ahmed v. Holder*, 569 F.3d 1009, 1012 (9th Cir. 2009), and the denial of a motion to reconsider, *Cano-Merida v. INS*, 311 F.3d 960, 964 (9th Cir. 2002). We review de novo claims of due process violations. *Id.* We deny the petitions for review.

The agency did not abuse its discretion in denying Lozano-Leon's request for a continuance. *See Ahmed*, 569 F.3d at 1012 (continuance may be granted for good cause).

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Lozano-Leon's motion to reconsider on the ground that the motion failed to identify any error of fact or law in the BIA's prior order. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(b)(1).

PETITIONS FOR REVIEW DENIED.