FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

OCT 17 2012

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

BEVIN GRAHAM, Sr.,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

JAMES HARLEY, Warden; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 11-17774

D.C. No. 1:10-cv-00265-BAM

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California Sandra M. Snyder, Magistrate Judge, Presiding**

Submitted October 9, 2012***

Before: RAWLINSON, MURGUIA, and WATFORD, Circuit Judges.

Former California state prisoner Bevin Graham, Sr. appeals pro se from the district court's judgment dismissing his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging Eighth

This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} Graham consented to proceed before a magistrate judge. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).

The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Amendment violations in connection with a fall he sustained when exiting a prison van. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo a dismissal under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. *Resnick v. Hayes*, 213 F.3d 443, 447 (9th Cir. 2000). We affirm.

The district court properly dismissed Graham's action because Graham failed to allege facts demonstrating that defendants were deliberately indifferent when they did not offer Graham assistance when he exited the van. *See Farmer v. Brennan*, 511 U.S. 825, 837 (1994) ("[A] prison official cannot be found liable under the Eighth Amendment . . . unless the official knows of and disregards an excessive risk to inmate health or safety[.]"); *see also id.* at 835 ("[D]eliberate indifference describes a state of mind more blameworthy than negligence.").

AFFIRMED.

2 11-17774