

JAN 16 2013

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

SANDRA PATRICIA MORALES-
MAZARIEGOS,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 11-70660

Agency No. A070-781-185

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted January 15, 2013**

Before: SILVERMAN, BEA, and NGUYEN, Circuit Judges.

Sandra Patricia Morales-Mazariegos, a native and citizen of Guatemala, petitions pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ (“BIA”) order denying her motion to reconsider. Our jurisdiction is governed by 8 U.S.C.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

§ 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reconsider. *Mohammed v. Gonzales*, 400 F.3d 785, 791-92 (9th Cir. 2005). We deny in part and dismiss in part the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Morales-Mazariegos's motion to reconsider where the motion failed to establish any error of law or fact in the BIA's prior order denying Morales-Mazariegos's motion to reopen. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(b)(1).

To the extent that Morales-Mazariegos challenges the BIA's underlying order denying her motion to reopen, we lack jurisdiction because this petition is not timely as to that order. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1252(b)(1) (petitions for review must be filed within 30 days of the order); *Singh v. INS*, 315 F.3d 1186, 1188 (9th Cir. 2003).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED in part; DISMISSED in part.