

FEB 15 2013

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>TAN GIOK TJAN,</p> <p>Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p>Respondent.</p>
---

No. 11-70058

Agency No. A095-634-706

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted February 11, 2013\*\*

Before: FERNANDEZ, TASHIMA, and WARDLAW, Circuit Judges.

Tan Giok Tjan, a native and citizen of Indonesia, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying his motion to reopen. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for an abuse of discretion the

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

BIA's denial of a motion to reopen. *Cano-Merida v. INS*, 311 F.3d 960, 964 (9th Cir. 2002). We deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Tjan's motion to reopen that he filed based on *Tampubolon v. Holder*, 610 F.3d 1056 (9th Cir. 2010), where Tjan did not present any evidence of individualized risk of persecution. *See Halim v. Holder*, 590 F.3d 971, 979 (9th Cir. 2009); 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(1) (providing that a motion to reopen "shall state the new facts that will be proven at a hearing to be held if the motion is granted and shall be supported by affidavits or other evidentiary material"). We reject Tjan's arguments regarding the agency's prior analysis of his disfavored group claims in light of our decision in *Tjan v. Holder*, No. 07-73532, 2010 WL 675191 (9th Cir. Feb. 23, 2010). Accordingly, we deny the petition for review.

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**