

MAR 21 2013

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>ARTUSH GUKASYAN, a.k.a. Arthush Gukasyan,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Petitioner,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Respondent.</p>
--

Nos. 09-73257
10-70493

Agency No. A071-160-573

MEMORANDUM*

On Petitions for Review of Orders of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted March 12, 2013**

Before: PREGERSON, REINHARDT, and W. FLETCHER, Circuit Judges.

In these consolidated petitions for review, Artush Gukasyan, a native of Iran and citizen of Armenia, seeks review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ (“BIA”) orders denying his motions to reopen removal proceedings based on ineffective assistance of counsel. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

review for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reopen, and review de novo due process claims. *Mohammed v. Gonzales*, 400 F.3d 785, 791-92 (9th Cir. 2005). We deny the petitions for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Gukasyan's second and third motions to reopen where he failed to establish he was prejudiced by his former counsels' alleged ineffective assistance. *See id.* at 793-94 (to demonstrate prejudice, alien must establish that counsel's performance may have affected the outcome of proceedings).

PETITIONS FOR REVIEW DENIED.