

JUN 21 2013

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,</p> <p>Plaintiff - Appellee,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>EDGARDO SOLORIO-CAMACHO,</p> <p>Defendant - Appellant.</p>

No. 12-10184

D.C. No. 2:11-cr-00118-MCE

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of California
Morrison C. England, Jr., Chief Judge, Presiding

Submitted June 18, 2013**

Before: TALLMAN, M. SMITH, and HURWITZ, Circuit Judges.

Edgardo Solorio-Camacho appeals from the district court’s judgment and challenges his guilty-plea conviction and 168-month sentence for possession with intent to distribute methamphetamine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1).

Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Solorio-Camacho’s counsel

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

has filed a brief stating that there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Solorio-Camacho the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Solorio-Camacho has waived his right to appeal his conviction and sentence. Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable issue as to the validity of the waiver. *See United States v. Watson*, 582 F.3d 974, 986-88 (9th Cir. 2009). We accordingly dismiss the appeal. *See id.* at 988.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**. Solorio-Camacho's motion for appointment of substitute counsel is **DENIED**.

DISMISSED.