

JUN 21 2013

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,</p> <p>Plaintiff - Appellee,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>FRANCISCO GOMEZ-VASQUEZ,</p> <p>Defendant - Appellant.</p>

No. 12-10390

D.C. No. 4:10-cr-01886-DCB

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Arizona
Jennifer G. Zips, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted June 18, 2013**

Before: TALLMAN, M. SMITH, and HURWITZ, Circuit Judges.

Francisco Gomez-Vasquez appeals from the district court’s judgment
revoking supervised release and the 18-month sentence imposed upon revocation.

Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Gomez-Vasquez’s counsel
has filed a brief stating that there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision
without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

withdraw as counsel of record. Gomez-Vasquez has filed a pro se supplemental brief. The government has filed a motion for summary affirmance.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**. The government's motion for summary affirmance is denied as moot.

AFFIRMED.