FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

JUN 24 2013

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

LUIS RODRIGUEZ,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 12-10100

D.C. No. 2:11-cr-00327-JAT

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Arizona

James A. Teilborg, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted June 18, 2013**

Before: TALLMAN, M. SMITH, and HURWITZ, Circuit Judges.

Luis Rodriguez appeals from the district court's judgment and challenges his jury-trial conviction and 70-month sentence for possession with intent to distribute marijuana, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(B)(vii). Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Rodriguez's counsel has filed a brief stating

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

that there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Rodriguez the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED** and her requests for a ruling are **DENIED** as moot.

AFFIRMED.

2 12-10100