

SEP 26 2013

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>JESUS REYES ONTIVEROS and ARELI AVILA RAMIREZ,</p> <p>Petitioners,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p>Respondent.</p>
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

No. 12-70145

Agency Nos.       A099-968-715  
                              A099-450-137

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted September 24, 2013\*\*

Before:       RAWLINSON, N.R. SMITH, and CHRISTEN, Circuit Judges.

Jesus Reyes Ontiveros and Areli Avila Ramirez, natives and citizens of Mexico, petition pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ (“BIA”) order denying their motion to reopen. Our jurisdiction is governed by 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We deny in part and dismiss in part the petition for review.

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Even construed liberally, petitioners' pro se brief does not challenge the BIA's dispositive determination that their motion to reopen was untimely and number-barred. *See Rizk v. Holder*, 629 F.3d 1083, 1091 n.3 (9th Cir. 2011) (a petitioner waives an issue by failing to raise it in the opening brief).

To the extent petitioners are challenging the BIA's decision not to reopen sua sponte, we lack jurisdiction to review that decision. *See Mejia-Hernandez v. Holder*, 633 F.3d 818, 823-24 (9th Cir. 2011).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED in part; DISMISSED in part.**