

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

SEP 26 2013

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

EUGENIA PARIS, a.k.a. Jenny Paris,  
  
Petitioner,  
  
v.  
  
ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,  
  
Respondent.

No. 12-70685

Agency No. A026-823-395

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted September 24, 2013\*\*

Before: RAWLINSON, N.R. SMITH, and CHRISTEN, Circuit Judges.

Eugenia Paris, a native and citizen of Romania, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying her motion to reopen removal proceedings. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reopen, *Avagyan v. Holder*, 646 F.3d 672, 674 (9th Cir. 2011), and we deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Paris's third motion to reopen as untimely and number-barred because the successive motion was filed more than four years after the BIA's final order of removal, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(2), and Paris failed to establish the due diligence required for equitable tolling of the filing deadline, *see Avagyan*, 646 F.3d at 678-80 (equitable tolling is available to a petitioner who establishes that she suffered from deception, fraud or error, and exercised due diligence in discovering such circumstances).

In light of our disposition, we need not reach Paris's remaining contentions.

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**