

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

SEP 27 2013

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

KAJNEESH JARET,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 12-70447

Agency No. A088-664-706

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted September 24, 2013**

Before: RAWLINSON, N.R. SMITH, and CHRISTEN, Circuit Judges.

Kajneesh Jaret, a native and citizen of India, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying his application for withholding of removal. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence the

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

agency's factual findings, *Rahimzadeh v. Holder*, 613 F.3d 916, 920 (9th Cir. 2010), and we deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the agency's denial of withholding of removal because Jaret failed to demonstrate that the Indian government is unwilling or unable to control the Muslim extremists he fears. *See Nahrvani v. Gonzales*, 399 F.3d 1148, 1154 (9th Cir. 2005) (although police were unable to solve crimes against petitioner, evidence did not compel finding that crimes were committed by forces the government was unable or unwilling to control because police took reports and investigated petitioner's complaints); *Rahimzadeh*, 613 F.3d at 923 (country report did not support conclusion that government was unwilling or unable to control petitioner's attackers).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.