

OCT 02 2013

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>ROHDE ENRIQUETA CADE,</p> <p>Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p>Respondent.</p>
--

No. 09-70636

Agency No. A076-629-486

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted September 24, 2013**

Before: RAWLINSON, N.R. SMITH, and CHRISTEN, Circuit Judges.

Rohde Enriqueta Cade, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ (“BIA”) order dismissing her appeal from an immigration judge’s decision denying her application for cancellation of removal.

We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review de novo questions of law,

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Vasquez de Alcantar v. Holder, 645 F.3d 1097, 1099 (9th Cir. 2011), and we deny the petition for review.

The BIA properly concluded that Cade was ineligible for cancellation of removal because she lacked seven years of continuous residence in the United States after being “admitted in any status.” *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1229b(a)(2); *Vasquez de Alcantar*, 645 F.3d at 1103 (petitioner was not admitted in any status until her status was adjusted); *see also Guevara v. Holder*, 649 F.3d 1086, 1094 (9th Cir. 2011) (a grant of work authorization does not confer admission).

Cade’s remaining contention regarding an alleged processing delay is unavailing.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.