

## **NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

OCT 21 2013

#### MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

# UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

### FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CHARAN SINGH CHAHAL,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 10-73342

Agency No. A079-262-320

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted October 15, 2013\*\*

Before: FISHER, GOULD, and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Charan Singh Chahal, a native and citizen of India, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying his motion to reopen.

We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

denial of a motion to reopen, *Najmabadi v. Holder*, 597 F.3d 983, 986 (9th Cir. 2010), and we deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Chahal's motion to reopen as untimely and number-barred because the successive motion was filed over six years after the BIA's final decision, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(2), and Chahal failed to demonstrate changed circumstances in India to qualify for the regulatory exception to the time and number limitations, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(3)(ii); *Najmabadi*, 597 F.3d at 988-89.

### PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.

2 10-73342