

NOV 26 2013

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff - Appellee,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v.</p> <p>JOEL GUERRA-TAPIA,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendant - Appellant.</p>
---

No. 12-10632

D.C. No. 4:12-cr-00533-JGZ

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Arizona  
Daniel L. Hovland, District Judge, Presiding\*\*

Submitted November 19, 2013\*\*\*

Before: CANBY, TROTT, and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Joel Guerra-Tapia appeals from the district court’s judgment and challenges the three-year term of supervised release imposed following his guilty-plea conviction for reentry after deportation, in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326. We have

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The Honorable Daniel L. Hovland, United States District Judge for the District of North Dakota, sitting by designation.

\*\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we affirm.

Guerra-Tapia contends that the district court procedurally erred by failing to make findings and explain why a term of supervised release was warranted in light of U.S.S.G. § 5D1.1(c) (2011), which directs that a district court ordinarily should not impose supervised release if the defendant is a deportable alien. We review for plain error, *see United States v. Valencia-Barragan*, 608 F.3d 1103, 1108 (9th Cir. 2010), and find none. Guerra-Tapia has not shown a reasonable probability that he would have received a different sentence had the district court given explicit consideration to section 5D1.1(c). *See United States v. Dallman*, 533 F.3d 755, 762 (9th Cir. 2008).

Guerra-Tapia also contends that the three-year term of supervised release is substantively unreasonable. The district court did not abuse its discretion in imposing Guerra-Tapia's sentence. *See Gall v. United States*, 552 U.S. 38, 51 (2007). The sentence is substantively reasonable in light of the totality of the circumstances, including Guerra-Tapia's multiple prior deportations and criminal history. *See id.*; *see also* U.S.S.G. § 5D1.1 cmt. n.5 (supervised release for a deportable alien is appropriate if it would provide an added measure of deterrence and protection).

**AFFIRMED.**