

DEC 11 2013

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

| |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>NERISSA PADILLA HANICK,</p> <p>Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p>Respondent.</p> |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

No. 12-72630

Agency No. A096-817-509

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted November 19, 2013**

Before: CANBY, TROTT, and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Nerissa Padilla Hanick, a native and citizen of the Philippines, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ (“BIA”) order denying her motion to reopen removal proceedings. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

review for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reopen. *Avagyan v. Holder*, 646 F.3d 672, 674 (9th Cir. 2011), and we deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Hanick's motion to reopen where she failed to demonstrate her additional evidence was previously unavailable. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(1); *Bhasin v. Gonzales*, 423 F.3d 977, 984 (9th Cir. 2005).

In her opening brief, Hanick fails to raise, and therefore has waived, any challenge to the BIA's denial of her motion to reconsider as untimely. *See Lopez-Vasquez v. Holder*, 706 F.3d 1072, 1079-80 (9th Cir. 2013) (a petitioner waives an issue by failing to raise it in the opening brief).

Hanick's remaining contentions are unavailing.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.