

JAN 03 2014

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,</p> <p>Plaintiff - Appellee,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>MIGUEL ANGEL HERNANDEZ,</p> <p>Defendant - Appellant.</p>
--

No. 12-10660

D.C. No. 2:05-cr-00403-KJM

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of California
Kimberly J. Mueller, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted December 17, 2013**

Before: GOODWIN, WALLACE, and GRABER, Circuit Judges.

Miguel Angel Hernandez appeals from the district court’s judgment and challenges the sentence of 12 months and one day imposed upon revocation of supervised release. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we affirm.

Hernandez contends that the district court erred by failing to explain the

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

sentence and its reasons for rejecting his mitigating arguments. We review for plain error, *see United States v. Valencia-Barragan*, 608 F.3d 1103, 1108 (9th Cir. 2010), and find none. The record reflects that the district court considered all of Hernandez's arguments and adequately explained the sentence to permit meaningful appellate review. *See United States v. Carty*, 520 F.3d 984, 992-93, 995 (9th Cir. 2008) (en banc). Moreover, the sentence at the bottom of the Guidelines range is substantively reasonable. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e); *United States v. Simtob*, 485 F.3d 1058, 1062-63 (9th Cir. 2007) (upon revocation, a district court may sanction the defendant for his breach of the court's trust)

AFFIRMED.