

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

JAN 17 2014

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

CHRISTIAN RODRIGUEZ, individually
and a class representatives; ALBERTO
CAZAREZ, individually and a class
representatives,

Plaintiffs - Appellees,

v.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES; CARMEN
ANTHONY TRUTANICH; CHARLES
BECK; ALLAN NADIR; ANGEL
GOMEZ,

Defendants - Appellants.

No. 13-55467

D.C. No. 2:11-cv-01135-DMG-
JEM

ORDER*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Central District of California
Dolly M. Gee, District Judge, Presiding

Argued and Submitted November 4, 2013
Pasadena, California

Before: McKEOWN, GOULD, and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

Based on the parties' submissions and supplemental briefing, we conclude that this appeal is now moot. *See, e.g., Univ. of Texas v. Camenisch*, 451 U.S. 390, 398 (1981) (holding that “the question whether a preliminary injunction should have been issued . . . is moot . . . [where] the terms of the injunction . . . have been fully and irrevocably carried out.”). The case is **remanded** to the district court for any further proceedings.