

APR 10 2014

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

MANUEL CORNEJO-RODRIGUEZ,  
a.k.a. MANUEL RODRIGUEZ-  
CORNEJO,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 12-10665

D.C. No. 4:08-cr-00283-CKJ-  
DTF-1

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Arizona  
Cindy K. Jorgenson, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted April 8, 2014\*\*  
San Francisco, California

Before: BENAVIDES,\*\*\* TALLMAN, and CLIFTON, Circuit Judges.

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

\*\*\* The Honorable Fortunato P. Benavides, Senior Circuit Judge for the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit, sitting by designation.

Manuel Cornejo-Rodriguez (“Rodriguez”) appeals from the judgment revoking his supervised release and the sentence imposed upon revocation. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Rodriguez’s counsel has filed a brief stating there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided the appellant the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80–81 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Accordingly, counsel’s motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and the district court’s judgment is **AFFIRMED**.