NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

PARVINDER SINGH; KAWALJEET KAUR SACHDEVA; JAPNEET SINGH,

Petitioners,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 10-71489

Agency Nos.

A099-873-204 A099-873-205 A099-873-206

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

> Submitted April 8, 2014** Seattle, Washington

Before: KOZINSKI, Chief Judge, RAWLINSON and BEA, Circuit Judges.

The BIA didn't err in adopting the immigration judge's adverse credibility

finding. The immigration judge listed "specific instances in the record that

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

FILED

APR 15 2014

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS form[ed] the basis of the . . . adverse credibility determination," <u>Shrestha</u> v. <u>Holder</u>, 590 F.3d 1034, 1042 (9th Cir. 2010), including Singh's voluntary return to India, discrepancies between the affidavits of Singh and his father, and petitioners' inconsistent testimony regarding their place of residence and visa application process. Nothing in the record "compels a contrary conclusion." <u>Singh</u> v. <u>Gonzales</u>, 439 F.3d 1100, 1105 (9th Cir. 2006).

DENIED.