

APR 16 2014

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

SHUXIN LI,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 10-73134

Agency No. A096-364-617

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted April 11, 2014**
Pasadena, California

Before: FARRIS and HURWITZ, Circuit Judges, and FRIEDMAN, Senior District
Judge.***

Shuxin Li petitions for review of a decision of the Board of Immigration
Appeals upholding the denial by an immigration judge of Li's applications for asylum,

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision
without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

*** The Honorable Paul L. Friedman, Senior District Judge for the U.S.
District Court for the District of Columbia, sitting by designation.

withholding of removal, and protection under the Convention Against Torture (CAT). We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252 and deny the petition for review.

1. The denial of Li's asylum application was supported by substantial evidence, including a forensic document examiner's testimony that a purported Chinese arrest notice submitted by Li was prepared on the same printer as documents seized during a raid of a Los Angeles "document mill." Substantial evidence also supported the IJ's determination that Li's testimony that his wife mailed the arrest notice to him from China was not credible.

2. Because Li did not establish eligibility for asylum, his applications for withholding of removal and CAT protection were also appropriately denied. *See Singh v. INS*, 134 F.3d 962, 971 (9th Cir. 1998).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.