

APR 29 2014

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

RODRIGO CASTRO-LOPEZ,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 13-10197

D.C. No. 4:12-cr-01450-RCC

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Arizona  
Jack Zouhary, District Judge, Presiding\*\*

Submitted March 31, 2014\*\*\*

Before: HUG, FARRIS, and CANBY, Circuit Judges.

Rodrigo Castro-Lopez appeals from the district court's judgment and challenges his guilty-plea conviction and 48-month sentence for reentry after

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The Honorable Jack Zouhary, United States District Judge for the Northern District of Ohio, sitting by designation.

\*\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

deportation, in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Castro-Lopez's counsel has filed a brief stating that there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Castro-Lopez the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

**AFFIRMED.**