

MAY 23 2014

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>WENDY NINOSCA MOLINA-LINARES,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Petitioner,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Respondent.</p>
--

No. 10-73647

Agency No. A099-524-919

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted May 13, 2014**

Before: CLIFTON, BEA, and WATFORD, Circuit Judges.

Wendy Ninosca Molina-Linares, a native and citizen of Honduras, petitions pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ (“BIA”) order dismissing her appeal from an immigration judge’s (“IJ”) decision denying her application for

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

asylum and withholding of removal. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252.

We grant the petition for review and remand.

In denying Molina-Linares's asylum and withholding of removal claims, the BIA found Molina-Linares failed to establish past persecution or a fear of future persecution on account of a protected ground. When the IJ and BIA issued their decisions in this case they did not have the benefit of either this court's decisions in *Henriquez-Rivas v. Holder*, 707 F.3d 1081 (9th Cir. 2013) (en banc), and *Cordoba v. Holder*, 726 F.3d 1106 (9th Cir. 2013), or the BIA's decisions in *Matter of M-E-V-G-*, 26 I. & N. Dec. 227 (BIA 2014), and *Matter of W-G-R-*, 26 I. & N. Dec. 208 (BIA 2014). In light of these intervening decisions, and our decision in *Perdomo v. Holder*, 611 F.3d 662, 669 (9th Cir. 2010) (remanding for the agency to determine "whether women in Guatemala constitute a particular social group, and, if so, whether [petitioner] has demonstrated a fear of persecution" on account of her membership in a protected group), we grant Molina-Linares's petition for review and remand her asylum and withholding of removal claims for further proceedings consistent with this disposition. *See INS v. Ventura*, 537 U.S. 12, 16-18 (2002) (per curiam).

PETITION FOR GRANTED; REMANDED.