

JUL 28 2014

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

STEVEN M. UNDERHILL,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 13-10516

D.C. No. 2:12-cr-00301-APG

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Nevada
Andrew P. Gordon, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted July 22, 2014**

Before: GOODWIN, CANBY, and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

Steven M. Underhill appeals from the district court's judgment and challenges his guilty-plea conviction and five-year term of probation for two counts of making a false statement, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001; and one count of witness tampering, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1512(b)(3). Pursuant to *Anders v.*

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Underhill’s counsel has filed a brief stating that there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Underhill the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Underhill has waived his right to appeal his conviction and sentence. Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable issue as to the validity of the waiver. *See United States v. Watson*, 582 F.3d 974, 986-88 (9th Cir. 2009). We accordingly dismiss the appeal. *See id.* at 988.

Counsel’s motion to withdraw is **GRANTED.**

DISMISSED.