

AUG 4 2014

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

BOBBY WINDELL LEE,  Plaintiff - Appellant,  v.  WAGNER, Dr.,  Defendant - Appellee.
---

No. 13-16811

D.C. No. 1:05-cv-00802-LJO-BAM

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Eastern District of California  
Lawrence J. O’Neill, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted July 22, 2014\*\*

Before: GOODWIN, CANBY, and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

California state prisoner Bobby Windell Lee appeals pro se from the district court’s summary judgment in his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging deliberate indifference to his serious medical needs. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo. *Toguchi v. Chung*, 391 F.3d 1051, 1056 (9th Cir.

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

2004). We affirm.

The district court properly granted summary judgment because Lee failed to raise a genuine dispute of material fact as to whether defendant Wagner was deliberately indifferent to Lee's need for anti-depressant medication. *See Farmer v. Brennan*, 511 U.S. 825, 834 (1994) (prison officials act with deliberate indifference only if they know of and disregard an excessive risk to inmate health).

We do not consider matters not specifically and distinctly raised and argued in the opening brief, or arguments and allegations raised for the first time on appeal. *See Padgett v. Wright*, 587 F.3d 983, 985 n.2 (9th Cir. 2009) (per curiam).

**AFFIRMED.**