

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

AUG 19 2014

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

JOSE ANTONIO SALAZAR-LUNA,  
a.k.a. Jose Salazar,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 13-10626

D.C. No. 1:13-cr-00652-HG

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Hawaii  
Helen W. Gillmor, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted August 13, 2014\*\*

Before: SCHROEDER, THOMAS, and HURWITZ, Circuit Judges.

Jose Antonio Salazar-Luna appeals from the district court's judgment and challenges his guilty-plea conviction and 30-month sentence for illegal reentry, in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

(1967), Salazar-Luna's counsel has filed a brief stating that there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Salazar-Luna the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal. Accordingly, the conviction and sentence are affirmed.

In accordance with *United States v. Rivera-Sanchez*, 222 F.3d 1057, 1062 (9th Cir. 2000), we remand the case to the district court with instructions to correct the judgment to exclude the reference to 8 U.S.C. § 1326(b)(1). *See United States v. Herrera-Blanco*, 232 F.3d 715, 719 (9th Cir. 2000) (remanding sua sponte to delete from judgment reference to section 1326(b)).

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

**AFFIRMED; REMANDED to correct the judgment.**