

AUG 19 2014

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

MARSHALL CHARLES RICHMOND,
a.k.a. Marshall Charles Richmond, III,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 13-30341

D.C. No. 3:03-cr-00370-KI

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Oregon
Garr M. King, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted August 13, 2014**

Before: SCHROEDER, THOMAS, and HURWITZ, Circuit Judges.

Marshall Charles Richmond appeals from the revocation of supervised release and the 24-month sentence imposed upon revocation. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Richmond's counsel has filed a brief stating that

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. Richmond has filed two pro se supplemental briefs. No answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

All other pending motions are denied as moot.

AFFIRMED.