

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

SEP 30 2014

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

JUAN ESCALANTE-RENTERIA, a.k.a.  
Juan Escalante Renteri, a.k.a. Juan  
Escalante Renteria, a.k.a. Juan Escalante-  
Renteri,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 14-10011

D.C. No. 2:13-cr-01310-NVW

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Arizona  
Howard D. McKibben, District Judge, Presiding\*\*

Submitted September 23, 2014\*\*\*

Before: W. FLETCHER, RAWLINSON, and CHRISTEN, Circuit Judges.

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The Honorable Howard D. McKibben, Senior United States District Judge for the District of Nevada, sitting by designation.

\*\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Juan Escalante-Renteria appeals from the district court's judgment and challenges his guilty-plea conviction and 27-month sentence for reentry of a removed alien, in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Escalante-Renteria's counsel has filed a brief stating that there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Escalante-Renteria the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Escalante-Renteria has waived his right to appeal his conviction and sentence. Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable issue as to the validity of the waiver. *See United States v. Watson*, 582 F.3d 974, 986-88 (9th Cir. 2009). We accordingly dismiss the appeal. *See id.* at 988.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

**DISMISSED.**