NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

ELVIRA BARTOLO-SEBASTIAN,	No. 11-70912
Petitioner,	Agency No. A029-376-043
v.	
ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,	MEMORANDUM[*]
Respondent.	

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted September 23, 2014[**]

Before: W. FLETCHER, RAWLINSON, and CHRISTEN, Circuit Judges.

Elvira Bartolo-Sebastian, a native and citizen of Guatemala, petitions for

review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing her appeal

from an immigration judge's ("IJ") decision denying her application for

---

[*]   This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

[**]   The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision
without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

withholding of removal. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We grant

the petition for review and remand.

In denying Bartolo-Sebastian's withholding of removal claim, the agency

found Bartolo-Sebastian failed to establish a clear probability that her life or

freedom would be threatened on account of a protected ground. When the IJ and

BIA issued their decisions in this case they did not have the benefit of this court's

decisions in *Henriquez-Rivas v. Holder*, 707 F.3d 1081 (9th Cir. 2013) (en banc),

Cordoba v. Holder, 726 F.3d 1106 (9th Cir. 2013), and *Pirir-Boc v. Holder*, 750

F.3d 1077 (9th Cir. 2014), or the BIA's decisions in *Matter of M-E-V-G-*,

26 I. & N. Dec. 227 (BIA 2014), and *Matter of W-G-R-*, 26 I. & N. Dec. 208 (BIA

2014). Thus, we remand Bartolo-Sebastian's withholding of removal claim to

determine the impact, if any, of these decisions. *See INS v. Ventura*, 537 U.S. 12,

16-18 (2002) (per curiam). In light of this remand, we do not reach Bartolo-

Sebastian's remaining challenges to the agency's denial of her withholding of

removal claim at this time.

PETITION FOR REVIEW GRANTED; REMANDED.