## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

ELDER ANIBAL FLORES-PASCUAL,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 12-72509

Agency No. A098-439-239

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted October 14, 2014\*\*

Before: LEAVY, GOULD, and BERZON, Circuit Judges.

Elder Anibal Flores-Pascual, a native and citizen of Guatemala, petitions for

review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying his motion to

reconsider. Our jurisdiction is governed by 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We dismiss in part

and deny in part the petition for review.

## **FILED**

OCT 20 2014

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

We lack jurisdiction to review the BIA's March 6, 2012 order dismissing Flores-Pascual's appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying his application for asylum, withholding of removal, and protection under the Convention Against Torture, because he did not file a petition for review of that order. *See Stone v. INS*, 514 U.S. 386, 405 (1995); *Martinez-Serrano v. INS*, 94 F.3d 1256, 1258 (9th Cir. 1996).

Flores-Pascual does not raise any arguments in his opening brief regarding the BIA's denial of his motion to reconsider. *See Martinez-Serrano*, 94 F.3d at 1259-60 (issues not supported by argument are deemed waived). Thus, we deny the petition for review.

## PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED in part; DENIED in part.