

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

DEC 02 2014

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

MARIA DE LOS ANGELES  
HERNANDEZ, AKA Maria Delosange  
Hernandez,  
  
Petitioner,  
  
v.  
  
ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,  
  
Respondent.

No. 13-72330

Agency No. A070-959-709

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted November 18, 2014\*\*

Before: LEAVY, FISHER, and N.R. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

Maria De Los Angeles Hernandez, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing her appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying her application for withholding of

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

removal. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence the agency's factual findings, *Zehatye v. Gonzales*, 453 F.3d 1182, 1184-85 (9th Cir. 2006), and we deny the petition for review.

Hernandez conceded that she did not establish past persecution because she did not suffer domestic abuse in Mexico, the country of removal. Substantial evidence supports the BIA's finding that Hernandez failed to establish it is more likely than not that she will face future persecution in Mexico. *See Nagoulko v. INS*, 333 F.3d 1012, 1018 (9th Cir. 2003) (possibility of future persecution too speculative); *Gonzalez-Medina v. Holder*, 641 F.3d 333, 338 (9th Cir. 2011) (in the absence of past persecution, the burden is on the applicant to show that relocation would be unreasonable). Accordingly, her withholding of removal claim fails.

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**