

DEC 4 2014

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>TIMOTHY LEE BLUDWORTH,</p> <p>Plaintiff - Appellant,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>CITY OF STOCKTON; et al.,</p> <p>Defendants - Appellees.</p>
---

No. 14-15845

D.C. No. 2:13-cv-02137-TLN-CKD

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Eastern District of California  
Troy L. Nunley, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted November 18, 2014\*\*

Before: LEAVY, FISHER, and N.R. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

California state prisoner Timothy Lee Bludworth appeals pro se from the district court’s judgment dismissing his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action related to his criminal conviction. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo a dismissal under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. *Resnick v. Hayes*, 213 F.3d 443, 447

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2)*.

(9th Cir. 2000). We affirm.

The district court properly dismissed Bludworth's action as *Heck*-barred because success on Bludworth's claims would necessarily imply the invalidity of his conviction. *See Heck v. Humphrey*, 512 U.S. 477, 486-87 (1994) (holding that, "in order to recover damages for an allegedly unconstitutional conviction or imprisonment, or for other harm caused by actions whose unlawfulness would render a conviction or sentence invalid," a plaintiff must prove "that the conviction or sentence has been reversed on direct appeal, expunged by executive order, declared invalid by a state tribunal authorized to make such determination, or called into question by a federal court's issuance of a writ of habeas corpus").

**AFFIRMED.**