## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CELSO HERNANDEZ-AGUIRRE,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 12-73578

Agency No. A092-430-689

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted December 9, 2014\*\*

Before: WALLACE, LEAVY, and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Celso Hernandez-Aguirre, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for

review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing his appeal

from an immigration judge's decision denying his application for cancellation of

## \* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

## **FILED**

DEC 17 2014

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS removal. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We grant the petition for review and remand.

Hernandez-Aguirre contends that there is no rationale for concluding that his voluntary return to Mexico terminated his accrual of continuous physical presence, where he had already accrued ten years of continuous physical presence at the time he accepted voluntary return. Because Hernandez-Aguirre raised this contention to the BIA, and the BIA did not consider it, we remand to the agency to consider in the first instance whether a voluntary return interrupts an alien's accrual of continuous physical presence at the time he accepts voluntary return. *Coronado v. Holder*, 759 F.3d 977, 987 (9th Cir. 2014) (under the ordinary remand rule, we do not decide a claim the agency has not addressed, but remand the claim to the agency to consider in the first instance).

## **PETITION FOR REVIEW GRANTED; REMANDED.**