

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JAN 27 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

KIMBERLY CRAWFORD,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 14-10205

D.C. No. 2:10-cr-00547-RLH

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Nevada  
Roger L. Hunt, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted January 21, 2015\*\*

Before: CANBY, GOULD, and N.R. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

Kimberly Crawford appeals from the district court's judgment and challenges the 11-month sentence imposed upon revocation of supervised release. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we affirm.

Crawford contends that the district court procedurally erred by failing to

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

explain adequately its reasons for rejecting her sentencing arguments. We review for plain error, *see United States v. Miquel*, 444 F.3d 1173, 1176 (9th Cir. 2006), and find none. The record reflects that the court considered Crawford's arguments and sufficiently explained the sentence. *See United States v. Carty*, 520 F.3d 984, 992 (9th Cir. 2008) (en banc).

Crawford next contends that her sentence is substantively unreasonable in light of her history of addiction and her rehabilitative efforts. The district court did not abuse its discretion in imposing Crawford's sentence. *See Gall v. United States*, 552 U.S. 38, 51 (2007). The sentence is substantively reasonable in light of the 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e) sentencing factors and the totality of the circumstances, including Crawford's multiple violations of supervised release and the need to afford adequate deterrence. *See Gall*, 552 U.S. at 51.

**AFFIRMED.**