

JAN 28 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,</p> <p>Plaintiff - Appellee,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>MARVIN RIGOBERTO ARAUJO-VARGAS, a.k.a. Marvin R. Araujo, a.k.a. Wilson Armando Murillo Garcia, a.k.a. Wilson Murillo, a.k.a. Wilson Armando Garcia Murillo, a.k.a. Marvin Rigoberto Vargas,</p> <p>Defendant - Appellant.</p>
--

No. 14-50114

D.C. No. 2:13-cr-00462-DDP

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Central District of California
George H. King, Chief Judge, Presiding

Submitted January 21, 2015**

Before: CANBY, GOULD, and N.R. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

Marvin Rigoberto Araujo-Vargas appeals from the district court's judgment

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

and challenges his guilty-plea conviction and 63-month sentence for being an illegal alien found in the United States following deportation, in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Araujo-Vargas's counsel has filed a brief stating that there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Araujo-Vargas the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Araujo-Vargas waived his right to appeal his conviction, with the exception of an appeal based on a claim that his plea was involuntary. He also waived the right to appeal his sentence, with the exception of the court's calculation of his criminal history category. Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penon v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief as to the voluntariness of Araujo-Vargas's plea or the criminal history category calculated by the court. We therefore affirm as to those issues. We dismiss the remainder of the appeal in light of the valid appeal waiver. *See United States v. Watson*, 582 F.3d 974, 988 (9th Cir. 2009).

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

AFFIRMED in part; DISMISSED in part.