

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

FEB 05 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

ANGEL OCAMPO-SOTO, a.k.a. Jose  
Paredes-Morales, a.k.a. Jose Peredez-  
Morales,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 13-10577

D.C. No. 2:13-cr-01105-SRB-1

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Arizona  
Susan R. Bolton, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted September 30, 2014\*\*

Before: HUG, FARRIS, and CANBY, Circuit Judges.

Angel Ocampo-Soto appeals from the district court's judgment and challenges his guilty-plea conviction and 63-month sentence for reentry after deportation, in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

U.S. 738 (1967), Ocampo-Soto's counsel has filed a brief stating that there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Ocampo-Soto the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Ocampo-Soto has waived his right to appeal his conviction and 63-month sentence. Because the record discloses no arguable issue as to the validity of the appeal waiver, we dismiss the appeal. *See United States v. Watson*, 582 F.3d 974, 986-88 (9th Cir. 2009).

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

**DISMISSED.**