

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

FEB 24 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

NABOR CAMPILLO-VEGA, a.k.a.  
Eduardo Campillo-Vega, a.k.a. Juan  
Manuel Vega-Zuniga,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 13-10641

D.C. No. 2:07-cr-00478-JGZ

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Arizona  
Jennifer G. Zipps, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted February 17, 2015\*\*

Before: O'SCANNLAIN, LEAVY, and FERNANDEZ, Circuit Judges.

Nabor Campillo-Vega appeals from the revocation of supervised release and 24-month consecutive sentence imposed upon revocation. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Campillo-Vega's counsel has filed a brief stating

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

that there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Campillo-Vega the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

**AFFIRMED.**